

Fiscal Monitoring of Network Service Providers (NSPs)

Policy

It is the policy of Central Florida Behavioral Health Network, Inc. (CFBHN) to perform fiscal monitoring of the NSPs' audited financial statements for compliance with contract requirements.

Purpose

The purpose of this policy is to establish uniform guidelines to perform fiscal monitoring.

Procedure

1. CFBHN reviews NSPs' audited financial statements against a pre-established checklist of state contract requirements to verify that all elements have been included.

Finance staff sign and date the checklist to verify that all of the items have been reviewed. The checklist is stored on the Finance drive in the NSP's file.

- 2. For NSPs that have a contract directly with DCF, CFBHN will forward their audits to DCF.
- 3. If there is a finding in the NSP's audit, Finance staff follow up with the NSP to review their action plan to correct the finding. The corrective action plan and audit is then forwarded to DCF.
- 4. In the event a required report is missing, the Chief Financial Officer (CFO) writes a letter to the NSP's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) or CFO notifying them of the missing report, which will need to be prepared and submitted the following year.
- 5. Non-compliance by the NSP will result in the implementation of the *Sanctions and Penalties Enactment* policy.

Fiscal Monitoring of Network Service Providers (NSPs)	Date Issued: <u>01/20/2016</u>
Approval: Alan Davidson, President/Chief Executive Officer	Last Revision: <u>03/29/2023</u> Review Date: 03/29/2023
	Review Date: <u>05/27/2025</u>



POLICIES & PROCEDURES

Fiscal Monitoring of Network Service Providers (NSPs) continued

FISCAL MONITORING FORM			
Central Florida Behavioral Health Network, Inc.	Provider's Name:		
Audit Fiscal Monitoring of Subrecipient Checklist	Period of Funding:		
To be completed by CFO			
1. Did Finance staff follow up with provider if	f any of the following question	ons were answered unfavorably?	
Question 2			
Question 3			
Question 4			
Question 5			
Question 6 Question 7			
Question 7 Question 8			
Question 9			
Question y			
2. Was a corrective action plan accepted by C	FBHN?		
Question 2			
Question 3			
Question 4			
Ouestion 5			
Question 6			
Question 7			
Question 8			
Question 9			
		CFBHN Staff completed	Date
NOTES			



POLICIES & PROCEDURES

Fiscal Monitoring of Network Service Providers (NSPs) continued

	NOT REQUIRED YES NO - document below:
	a. Is the Subrecipient funded \$750,000 or more in federal funds? YES - a single audit in accordance with OMB Uniform Guidance is required NO
	 b. Is the Subrecipient funded \$750,000 or more in state project funds? YES - a single audit in accordance with Chapter 10.650, <i>Rules of the State of Florida Auditor General</i> is required NO
2.	Did the audit express an unmodified or clean opinion on the basic financial statements? YESNO
3.	Did the audit express an unmodified or clean opinion on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and other matters based on an audit of basic financial statements performed in accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> ? YESNO
4.	Did the audit express an unmodified or clean opinion on compliance requirements applicable to each major federal program and state project and internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Uniform Guidance and Chapter 10.650? YESNO
5.	Did the audit disclose any findings or questioned costs? YESNO
6.	If there were findings, did the provider have a management letter? YESNON/A
5.	For Subrecipient of monies funded by the State of Florida Department of Children and Families (DCF), have the appropriate reports required by the Florida Administrative Code 65-E been filed with DCF? Schedule of State Earnings Schedule of Related Party Transaction Adjustments Schedule of Bed-Day Availability Payment (If applicable) Program/Covered Service Actual Expenses & Revenues Schedule Did the Actual revenue schedule use the correct covered service names? Did the Actual revenue schedule match the schedule submitted to CFBHN 9.30?
	YESNO
8.	Did the Subrecipient have a statement in the audit stating that match had been met for all contracts?
9.	Did the Match schedule reflect a payback? (negative # = payback) YESNO



POLICIES & PROCEDURES

Fiscal Monitoring of Network Service Providers (NSPs) continued

	the provider's ratios co YES	mpleted and logge		0	
	he Sub-Contractor Per	rformance Criteri			
	YES		N	0	
⁷ 13. On th		he provider use th		/ith/Without donor restrictions?	
	YES		N	0	
				finance for payback analysis:	
	ie reports match (by co not, identify the area:		YES	NO der for justification:	
	What is the net impact				
	ederal		State	TOTAL \$	-
v	Vas an invoice sent to t	the provider?	YES	NO	
	cial Risk Assessment				
	No Action required Desk Review	(score above 13 (score between			
	Monitoring	(score 9 or belo			
				CFBHN Staff Completed	Date
induted 2 29 2022					
ipdated 3.29.2023					